

Algebraic Surgery III

The role of the (normal) bundle and S-duality.

These are essential to translate the geometric surgery into algebra. We will need to develop chain bundles.

Alexander Duality

For any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a map

$$K \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K) \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$$

$$(x, y) \longmapsto \frac{x-y}{\|x-y\|}$$

which induces ~~isomorphisms~~ (for reasonable spaces)

$$\phi_i : H_i(K) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{n-i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K).$$

Assuming K is a simplicial or CW subcomplex, we have

$$H^{n-1}(C(K) \otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)) = H^{n-1}(K \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K))$$

so that ϕ_i an iso for $i \neq 0, n-1$.

Remark • This is an early example of a construction where the cohomology of one space is the homology of another.

- A modern account (in 'L-theory + Topological Manifolds') uses the "supplement"

Theorem (Whitehead)

Every finite subcomplex $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has a closed regular neighbourhood, a codim-0 submanifold $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $K \hookrightarrow W$ a (simple) htpy equiv

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n = W \cup_{\partial W} \text{cl}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W)$$

or, equiv

$$S^N = W \cup_{\partial W} \text{cl}(S^N \setminus W)$$

□

Alexander duality $H_*(K) \cong H^{N-1-*}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K)$
is closely related to Poincaré-Lefschetz duality

$$H^*(W) \cong H_{N-*}(W, \partial W)$$

This duality is true for any (oriented) N -dim mfld w/ ∂ $(W, \partial W)$, but for a regular n'hood of K , can use a cofibration

$$\text{cl}(S^N \setminus W) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} S^N \xrightarrow{\text{id}} S^N / \text{cl}(S^N \setminus W) \xrightarrow{\cong} W / \partial W$$

$$S^N \setminus K$$

⇒ exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_*(S^N \setminus K) & \rightarrow & H_*(S^N) & \rightarrow & H_*(W / \partial W) & \rightarrow & H_{*-1}(S^N \setminus K) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & H^{N-*}(W) \cong H^{N-*}(K) & & \\ & & & * \neq 0, N & & & \end{array}$$

Hence $H^{N-*}(K) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(S^N \setminus K)$ an iso in the claimed dimensions.

For any finite complex $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, can find an embedded reg n'hood $(W, \partial W) \subset S^N$. The spaces

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K, W / \partial W$$

def'n are s.t.

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K) \cong H_{N-*}(K) \quad (* \neq 0, N-1)$$

Replacing $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ by $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we get

$$(W, \partial W) \times (D^1 \times S^0) = (W', \partial W')$$

$$= (W \times D^1, \partial W \times D^1 \cup W \times S^0)$$

So that

$$\frac{W}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial W} \wedge D^2 / S^1 = \sum (W/\partial W),$$

the (pointed) suspension.

Hence, the \$S\$-dual of a finite CW ex is well defined as

$$\sum (S^n \setminus K) \cong \frac{W}{\partial W}$$

so that the stable htpy class is independent of our choice of \$W\$

[Recall \$X \simeq_s Y\$ if \$\exists k\$ s.t. \$\sum^k X \cong \sum^k Y\$]

Theorem (1961 - Atiyah, generalized by Browder + Wall)

If \$M^m\$ is a compact \$m\$-dim manifold, \$M \subset S^N\$ (\$N\$ large) with normal bundle \$\nu_M: M \rightarrow BO(N-m)\$ then the pointed space

$$M_+ := M \cup (\text{pt})$$

is \$S\$-dual to the Thom space \$Th(\nu_M) = E(\nu_M) \cup \{\infty\}\$ with:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^N & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M_+ \wedge Th(\nu_M) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \frac{W}{\partial W} & & W_+ \wedge \frac{W}{\partial W} \end{array}$$

So there is a diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{m-*}(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Poincaré}} & H_*(M) & & \\ \downarrow \text{Thom} & & \downarrow M \cong W & & \\ \tilde{H}^{N-*}(Th(\nu_M)) & & & & \\ & \searrow \text{Th}(\nu_M) \cong \frac{W}{\partial W} & & & \\ & & H^{N-*}(W_+) & & \\ & & \nearrow \text{Poincaré Lefschetz} & & \end{array}$$

Chain-level version

Let $(C(M), \Delta[M]) =: (C, \varphi)$ be the m -dim symmetric Poincaré complex of M .

$$\Delta: C(M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C(M))$$

from last time. i.e.

$$\varphi_s: C^{m-r+s} \rightarrow C_r ; d\varphi_s + \varphi_s d^* = \varphi_{s-1} + \varphi_{s-1}^* \quad q_{-1} = 0$$

$$\varphi_0: C^{m-*} \rightarrow C_* \text{ is } [M] \cap - \text{ a quasi-iso.}$$

To what extent does (C, φ) depend on ν_M ?

$$\text{Def'n} \quad Q^m(C) = \lim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C \otimes C)$$

We define a suspension:

$$(SC)_r := C_{r-1}$$

and

$$J: Q^m(C) \longrightarrow Q^{m+1}(SC)$$

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_s\} \mapsto J\varphi = \{(J\varphi)_s = \varphi_{s-1} \mid s \geq 0\}.$$

$$\text{E.g. } \tilde{C}(\Sigma X) = S\tilde{C}(X)$$

$$\bullet (J\varphi)_0 = 0 \quad \text{"cup products vanish in suspension"} \\ \text{(geometrically)}$$

$$\bullet \tilde{C}(\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{\cong} S\tilde{C}(X)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow A_X \\ \downarrow \Delta_{ZX} & & \swarrow S \\ \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{C}(\Sigma X) \otimes \tilde{C}(\Sigma X)) & & S \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{C}(X) \otimes \tilde{C}(X)) \end{array}$$

Theorem (R, 1980)

The image of $(C, \varphi) = (C(M), \Delta[M])$ under

$$J: Q^m(C) \rightarrow Q^{m+}(C) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \hat{Q}^m(C) := \lim_k Q^{m+k}(S^k)$$

depends only on the Thom space $T(\nu_m)$ and the Thom class of ν_m . □

Given $v: X \rightarrow BO(k)$ (or $BG(k)$), let

$$(D^k, S^{k-1}) \rightarrow (D(v), S(v)) \rightarrow X$$

$$Th(v) = D(v)/S(v), \quad u \in \tilde{H}^k(Th(v))$$

Embed $Th(v) \subset S^n$ with S-dual $Th(v)^*$ (we know it exists!)

$$\tilde{H}^{n-*}(Th(v)^*) = \tilde{H}_*(Th(v))$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{H}^k(Th(v)) \cong \tilde{H}_{n-k}(Th(v)^*) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{Th(v)^*}} Q^{n-k}(C(Th(v)^*))$$

112

$$Q^{n-k}(C^{n-k-*}(X))$$

Take N so
big that we
have stabilised

↓
||

$$\hat{Q}^0(C(X)^{-*})$$

"Wu classes" live here ↗

⇒ commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [M] \in H_m(M) & \longrightarrow & Q^m(C(M)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow J \\ U_v \in H^{n-m}(T(v)) & \longrightarrow & \hat{Q}^0(C(M)^{-*}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_v^* \in H_m(T(v)^*) & \longrightarrow & \hat{Q}^0(C(M)^{-*}) \end{array}$$

$$J \sigma^*(M) = \hat{\sigma}^*(\nu_m)$$

Generalized formula of
Wu and Thom.

(ORAL, 9) second

$\gamma_1 \circ \text{FMA}(\mu) = (q, ?)$ à square int

$\gamma_2 \circ \text{FMA}(\mu) = (0, ?) \leftarrow \text{so } \text{FMA}(\mu) = (0, ?)$

Want it has $(0, ?)$ except want all in when always
except zero

$\rightarrow \text{FMA}(\mu) = (\text{none} \rightarrow ?)$ none int

$\rightarrow \text{FMA}(\mu) = (0, ?)$

$(\text{FMA})^H = \text{I}$, $\text{FMA}^H = \text{FMA}$

Want $\text{FMA}^H = \text{FMA}$ and not $\text{FMA}^H = \text{FMA}$ because

$(\text{FMA})^H = \text{I} \neq (\text{FMA})^H = \text{FMA}$

$(\text{FMA})^H = \text{I} \leftarrow (\text{FMA})^H = (\text{FMA})^H$ has

II

$\text{FMA}(\mu) = \text{I}$

for all μ

so that μ

is defined and

Can not "zero" int

negative numbers

$\text{FMA}(\mu) = \text{I} \leftarrow (\text{FMA})^H = \text{I}$

$\text{FMA}(\mu) = \text{I} \leftarrow (\text{FMA})^H = \text{I}$

$(\text{FMA})^H = \text{I} \leftarrow (\text{FMA})^H = \text{I}$

A direct problem

Want this now

$\text{FMA}^H = (\text{FMA})^H$